

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Munish Kaushal,
S/o Sh. N.D. Kaushal,
H. No. 13- D, Passi Road, Patiala (147001)

Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Secretary,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, (PSPCL),
Patiala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Chief Engineer / H.R.D.,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),
Patiala.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 385 of 2020

{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}

Present : The Appellant, Sh. Munish Kaushal is absent.
Advocate, Sh. Sehaj Bir Singh,
Sh. Bahadur Singh, PIO –cum- Deputy Secretary,
Sh. Sanchit Tewatia, AMHR (ENG-I) along with
Sh. Amrinder Singh, SE Technical, Record Officer are present from the Department.
Sh. Navdeep Gupta, Hand Writing Expert is also present.

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference of the previous order dated 28.10.2021.

In today's hearing, the Appellant, Sh. Munish Kaushal is absent.

On the other hand, the Advocate, Sh. Sehaj Bir Singh states that he would be representing this case and for the same he requests for a week to study this case. He also submits his Vakalatnama for the same before the Commission.

On further discussion about the attendance registers, to which the original attendance registers of year 2017 and year 2018 are opened up before the present parties and the department has also gone through them and the registers are fine. During the proceedings, the attendance registers are given to Sh. Navdeep Gupta, Handwriting expert for checking the tempering of the attendance on the dates that are 24.11.2017, 08.03.2018 and 09.03.2018. While the inspection was going on by the handwriting expert, Sh. Navdeep Gupta, the representative of the Department, Advocate, Sh. Sehaj Bir Singh requests for a proper report from the Handwriting expert, to which the Hand Writing Expert, Sh. Navdeep Gupta needs some time to go through the same for creating a proper report and for the same he has to carry the attendance registers with him to his office.

The request of the Advocate, Sh. Sehaj Bir Singh has been accepted and for the same, the verbal consent was taken from the department to which the PIO, Sh. Bahadur Singh and Sh. Amrinder Singh, Record Officer has given their verbal consent in the Court by saying that they have no objection for giving the original attendance registers (year 2017 and 2018) to the Handwriting Expert, Sh. Navdeep Gupta.

Sh. Navdeep Gupta, Handwriting Expert is directed to send the copy of the report to the Advocate, Sh. Sehaj Bir Singh and for the same email as well as mobile number has been given by the Advocate, Sh. Sehaj Bir Singh to the hand Writing Expert, Sh. Navdeep Gupta.

Apart from this, an affidavit from the department side is also pending, to which they have to write accordingly that whether it is legally permissible to have three names of a same person as it was done in this case and the same has been discussed thoroughly during the hearing of this present case vide order dated 19.08.2021.

Appeal Case No. 385 of 2020
{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}
2/2



The Handwriting Expert, Sh. Navdeep Gupta is directed to finalize the report and submit the copy of the same to the Advocate at his email and bring a copy of the report to the Commission as well on the next date of hearing. The Handwriting Expert, Sh. Navdeep Gupta is also directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing and submit the original attendance registers to the department's PIO during the proceedings of the Court on the next date of hearing i.e. 02.12.2021 positively.

A copy of this order be also sent to the Handwriting Expert, Sh. Navdeep Gupta through registered post.

Also, the Appellant, Sh. Munish Kaushal is directed to go through the supplied information (after receiving the same from the department) and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post or through email, with a copy to the Commission, failing which it would be presumed that he has nothing to say and the case would be decided on merits.

This case is adjourned to **02.12.2021 at 02.00 p.m.** and **all the persons / concerned parties** are directed to be present on the next date of hearing i.e. **02.12.2021** which is going to be heard in Court Room at Punjab State Information Commission, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.

Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 18.11.2021

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Copy to :

(Regd. Post) : Sh. Navdeep Gupta
(Handwriting and Finger Prints Expert)
Chamber No. 222, First Floor, Yadwindra Complex,
District Courts, Patiala.

P.S.:- After hearing of this present case was over, the Appellant, Sh. Munish Kaushal appears in the Court and he was apprised of the above said order.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Helpline 0172-2864100 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, (M: 9464500405)

Ward No. 18, Street No. 02,

Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna (141401)

Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Executive Officer,

Nagar Council, Amloh Road,

Khanna, District Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Regional Deputy Director,

Urban Local Government, Punjab,

Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana. (Punjab)

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 683 of 2019

{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}

Present : The Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh is present.

Sh. Charanjit Singh, Executive Engineer is present from the department.

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference of the previous order dated 28.10.2021.

In today's hearing, the Executive Officer, Sh. Charanjit Singh handed over the information to the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh during the proceedings of the Court. He further mentions about an email dated 17.11.2021 which has been sent to the Commission and is taken on record.

On the other hand, after going through the supplied information, the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh states that he has received the copy of Tender Register of 2014 year only and further requests for Tender Register from the year 2015 to year 2018 and further requests for G-25 register of the year 2016 only. He further mentions about an email dated 17.11.2021 and email dated 18.11.2021 which has been sent to the Commission and is taken on record. He also states that the information has been lost and they have sent the registered letter in relating to the SSP for filing the FIR.

In view of above, the concerned PIO is directed to **supply the requisite pointwise information (i.e. Tender Register from the year 2015 to year 2018 and G-25 register of the year 2016 only as per RTI) to the Appellant by registered post or email positively (along with a copy to the Commission) and if the information is not available with them then the PIO is directed to file a proper reply in writing in the form of an affidavit explaining the reason why the information could not be supplied to the Appellant till date and also directed to produce a copy of FIR or accepted letter from Police Department in relating to lost documents, to the Commission, failing which an action would be taken against the concerned PIO.**

The PIO is also directed to submit the copy of the postal receipts to the Commission vide which information would have been supplied to the Appellant, by the next date of hearing.

It has also been found that the Executive Engineer, Sh. Charanjit Singh doesnot know properly about this case and last chance is given to Sh. Charanjit Singh relating to supplying the information or filling a proper reply in regard to information as mentioned above.

Also, the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh is directed to go through the supplied information (after receiving the same from the department) and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post or through email, with a copy to the Commission, failing which it would be presumed that he has nothing to say and the case would be decided on merits.

Appeal Case No. 683 of 2019
{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}
2/2



This case is adjourned to **09.02.2022 at 12.00 Noon** and **both the parties** are directed to be present on the next date of hearing i.e. **09.02.2022** which is going to be heard in Court Room at Punjab State Information Commission, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.

Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 18.11.2021

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Helpline 0172-2864100 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, (M: 9464500405)

Ward No. 18, Street No. 02,

Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna (141401)

Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Executive Officer,

Nagar Council, Amloh Road,

Khanna, District Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Regional Deputy Director,

Urban Local Government, Punjab,

Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana. (Punjab)

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 684 of 2019

{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}

Present : The Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh is present.

Sh. Charanjit Singh, Executive Engineer is present from the department.

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference of the previous order dated 28.10.2021.

In today's hearing, the Executive Officer, Sh. Charanjit Singh handed over the information to the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh during the proceedings of the Court. He further mentions about an email dated 17.11.2021 which has been sent to the Commission and is taken on record.

On the other hand, after going through the supplied information, the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh states that the estimate is left only in relating to tender notification number LGMCOUNCIL/2017-18/298 that is "Supply of Labour for the Maintenance of Sewer City 1 and 2" as per his RTI Application. He further mentions about his email dated 18.11.2021 which has been sent to the Commission and is taken on record.

In view of above, the concerned PIO is directed to **supply the requisite pointwise information (i.e. estimate in relating to tender notification number LGMCOUNCIL/2017-18/298 that is "Supply of Labour for the Maintenance of Sewer City 1 and 2" as per RTI) to the Appellant by registered post or email positively (along with a copy to the Commission) and if the information is not available with them then the PIO is directed to file a proper reply in writing in the form of an affidavit explaining the reason, why the information could not be supplied to the Appellant till date, failing which an action would be taken against the concerned PIO.**

The PIO is also directed to submit the copy of the postal receipts to the Commission vide which information would have been supplied to the Appellant, by the next date of hearing.

Also, the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh is directed to go through the supplied information (after receiving the same from the department) and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post or through email, with a copy to the Commission, failing which it would be presumed that he has nothing to say and the case would be decided on merits.

This case is adjourned to **09.02.2022 at 12.00 Noon** and **both the parties** are directed to be present on the next date of hearing i.e. **09.02.2022** which is going to be heard in Court Room at Punjab State Information Commission, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.

Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 18.11.2021

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Helpline 0172-2864100 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, (M: 9464500405)

Ward No. 18, Street No. 02,

Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna (141401)

Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Executive Officer,

Nagar Council, Amloh Road,

Khanna, District Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Regional Deputy Director,

Urban Local Government, Punjab,

Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana. (Punjab)

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2209 of 2018

{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}

Present : The Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh is present.

Sh. Charanjit Singh, Executive Engineer is present from the department.

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference of the previous order dated 28.10.2021.

In today's hearing, the Executive Officer, Sh. Charanjit Singh states that the information has been supplied to the Appellant. He further mentions about an email dated 18.11.2021 which has been sent to the Commission and is taken on record.

On the other hand, after going through the supplied information, the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh states that he has received the information in relating to point number 1 and 2 of the RTI Application and information relating to point number 03 is pending only.

To which, the Executive Engineer, Sh. Charanjit Singh states that they doesnot have such information i.e. list of employees.

To which the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh states that the list of employees could be gathered from the Accounts Department as the concerned EPF has also been released into their account from the concerned department.

In view of above, the concerned PIO is directed to **supply the requisite pointwise information (i.e. information relating to point number 03 as per RTI) to the Appellant by registered post or email positively (along with a copy to the Commission) and if the information is not available with them then the PIO is directed to file a proper reply in writing in the form of an affidavit explaining the reason, why the information could not be supplied to the Appellant till date, failing which an action would be taken against the concerned PIO.**

The PIO is also directed to submit the copy of the postal receipts to the Commission vide which information would have been supplied to the Appellant, by the next date of hearing.

Also, the Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh is directed to go through the supplied information (after receiving the same from the department) and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post or through email, with a copy to the Commission, failing which it would be presumed that he has nothing to say and the case would be decided on merits.

This case is adjourned to **09.02.2022 at 12.00 Noon** and **both the parties** are directed to be present on the next date of hearing i.e. **09.02.2022** which is going to be heard in Court Room at Punjab State Information Commission, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.

Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 18.11.2021

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Helpline 0172-2864100 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ashok Kumar Watts (M: 98767-23012)

H. No. B-V-1534, Street No. 01, Lajpat Nagar,

Abohar, District Fazilka (152116) Punjab

Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Principal Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Deptt. of Local Government, Sector35-A, Plot No. 03,

Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Principal Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Deptt. of Local Government, Sector35-A, Plot No. 03,

Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 430 of 2021

{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}

Present : The Appellant, Sh. Ashok Kumar along with Sh. Piyush Gagneja are present.
Sh. Ashish Kumar, PIO –cum- Superintendent is present from the department.

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference of the previous order dated 28.10.2021.

In today's hearing, the Appellant, Sh. Ashok Kumar states that whatever information has been supplied to him is wrong and incomplete in nature. He further mentions about his email dated 16.11.2021 which has been sent to the Commission and a copy of the same has been handed to the undersigned during the proceedings of the Court.

The Appellant, Sh. Ashok Kumar states that the enquiry is not pending before the Vigilance office.

On the other hand, the PIO, Sh. Ashish Kumar states that the information has been supplied to the Appellant and further submits the letter number 2289 dated 15.11.2021 which has been submitted to the undersigned during the proceedings of the Court. He further states that the enquiry from the vigilance has been done and the enquiry is pending at their department end.

After having the pointwise discussion with both the parties, the concerned PIO is directed to **supply the requisite information (i.e. pointwise as per RTI) to the Appellant by registered post or email positively (along with a copy to the Commission)** and if the information is not available with them then the PIO is directed to file a proper reply in writing in the form of an affidavit explaining the reason, why the information could not be supplied to the Appellant till date, failing which an action would be taken against the concerned PIO.

The PIO is also directed to submit the copy of the postal receipts to the Commission vide which information would have been supplied to the Appellant, by the next date of hearing.

Also, the Appellant, Sh. Ashok Kumar is directed to go through the supplied information (after receiving the same from the department) and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post or through email, with a copy to the Commission, failing which it would be presumed that he has nothing to say and the case would be decided on merits.

This case is adjourned to **09.02.2022 at 12.00 Noon** and **both the parties** are directed to be present on the next date of hearing i.e. **09.02.2022** which is going to be heard in Court Room at Punjab State Information Commission, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.

Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 18.11.2021

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Helpline 0172-2864100 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Kamal Kishore Shukla,
H. No. 500, Sector 20-A, Chandigarh.

Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director,
Technical Education and Industrial Training Department,
Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director,
Technical Education and Industrial Training Department,
Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4661 of 2019

{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}

Present : The Appellant, Sh. Kamal Kishore is present.
Sh. Rupinder Singh, Deputy Director –cum- PIO along with Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Senior Assistant is present from the department.

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference of the previous order dated 28.10.2021.

In today's hearing, the Appellant, Sh. Kamal Kishore states that incomplete information has been supplied to him and further states that there is lot of delay in supplying the information.

The Senior Assistant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar states that the information has been supplied to the Appellant and further mentions email dated 11.11.2021 which has been sent to the Commission and is taken on record.

After having the pointwise discussion with the parties, it has been found that the information relating to point number 1 and 3 has been supplied to the Appellant and he is satisfied with the same. The information relating to point number 2 is still pending, which is taken on record.

On this relating to point number 02, several documents have been shown to the undersigned but they were unable to prove the facts or unable to produce the action taken report before the Commission.

In view of above, the concerned PIO is directed to **supply the requisite information (i.e. point number 02 as per RTI) to the Appellant by registered post or email positively (along with a copy to the Commission) and if the information is not available with them then the PIO is directed to file a proper reply in writing in the form of an affidavit explaining the reason about action taken report in relating to point number 02 of the RTI, why the information could not be supplied to the Appellant till date, failing which an action would be taken against the concerned PIO.**

The PIO is also directed to submit the copy of the postal receipts to the Commission vide which information would have been supplied to the Appellant, by the next date of hearing.

Also, the Appellant, Sh. Kamal Kishore is directed to go through the supplied information (after receiving the same from the department) and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post or through email, with a copy to the Commission, failing which it would be presumed that he has nothing to say and the case would be decided on merits.

This case is adjourned to **09.02.2022 at 12.00 Noon** and **both the parties** are directed to be present on the next date of hearing i.e. **09.02.2022** which is going to be heard in Court Room at Punjab State Information Commission, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.

Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 18.11.2021

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Helpline 0172-2864100 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Ms. Navjeet Kaur,
H. No. 43, Mohalla Darapur, Near Session Chowk,
Hoshiarpur (146001) Punjab

Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director,
Technical Education and Industrial Training Department,
Punjab, Sector 36-A, Plot Number 1, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director,
Technical Education and Industrial Training Department,
Punjab, Sector 36-A, Plot Number 1, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4657 of 2019

{Heard in Court Room at PSIC, Chandigarh}

Present : The Appellant, Ms. Navjeet Kaur is absent.
Ms. Monica Bansal, PIO;
Ms. Jasbinder Kaur, Lecturer. IT;
Ms. Kawaljeet Kaur, Senior Assistant and
Sh. Baldev Singh, Senior Assistant are present from the Department.

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference of the previous order dated 28.10.2021.

In today's hearing, the Appellant, Ms. Navjeet Kaur is absent but a phone call has been received from Ms. Navjeet Kaur to the bench of undersigned through which she has stated that she is out of India right now and requests for pursuing this case through Cisco Webex Software and also request for information.

The PIO, Ms. Monica Bansal brought the information comprising of three pages for the Appellant but as she is absent today, **so the PIO is directed to supply the information to the Appellant through registered post by today and submit the copy of postal receipts to the Commission through email within 3 weeks from today.**

Ms. Monica Bansal, PIO submits the signed letter by Ms. Jasbinder Kaur, Coordinator along with annexure to the Commission during the proceedings of the Court.

In view of above, the Appellant, Ms. Navjeet Kaur is directed to go through the supplied information (after receiving the same from the department) and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post or through email, with a copy to the Commission, failing which it would be presumed that she has nothing to say and the case would be decided on merits.

The concerned PIO is directed to **supply information again**, only if there would be any deficiencies pointed out by the Appellant against the supplied information well in time to their department.

The PIO is also directed to submit the copy of the postal receipts to the Commission vide which information would have been supplied to the Appellant, by the next date of hearing.

This case is adjourned to **01.02.2022 at 11:00 A.M.** and **both the parties** are directed to be present on the next date of hearing i.e. **01.02.2022** which is going to be heard through **Software/ Application Cisco Webex Meetings. Joining Meeting Number of Cisco Webex is 1589136034.**

Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 18.11.2021

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab